IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

U١	NITED STATES OF AMERICA	0.0014.150
	Plaintiff,) 8:06MJ52)
	vs.) DETENTION ORDER
PΑ	ABLO CAMPUZANO-DOMINGUEZ,	
	Defendant.	}
A.	Order For Detention After waiving a detention hearing pursuant Act on April 27, 2006, the Court orders the atto 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).	
B.	conditions will reasonably assure the	because it finds: ence that no condition or combination of appearance of the defendant as required. It no condition or combination of conditions
C.	distribute the possession of 21 U.S.C. § 846 car imprisonment and a max (b) The offense is a crime of (c) The offense involves a national content of the content	g: e offense charged: y to distribute and possess with intent to with intent to distribute cocaine in violation ries a minimum sentence of five years imum of forty years imprisonment. violence.
	may affect wheth X The defendant h X The defendant h X The defendant is X The defendant of ties. Past conduct of The defendant h The defendant court proceeding	as no substantial financial resources. In the defendant will appear. It is no steady employment. It is not a long time resident of the community. It does not have any significant community. It is a history relating to drug abuse. It is a history relating to alcohol abuse. It is a prior record of failure to appear at

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

	Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.
(c)	Other Factors:
(0)	The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation.
	The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted.
	The Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement
	(BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal. Other:
	nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's se are as follows: The nature of the charges in the complaint.
V (5) Dobus	ttable Presumptions
 X (5) Rebuttable Presumptions In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied 	
	e following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)
	the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:
	That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably
<u> </u>	assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety
	of any other person and the community because the Court finds that
	the crime involves:
	(1) A crime of violence; or
	(2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life
	imprisonment or death; or
	X (3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum
	penalty of 10 years or more; or
	(4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two
	or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3)
	above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one
	of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed
while the defendant was on pretrial release. X (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reason	
	of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe:
	X (1) That the defendant has committed a controlled
	substance violation which has a maximum penalty of
	10 years or more.
	(2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18
	U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in
	relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of
	violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment
	if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous
	weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives

- Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

 1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
 - The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: April 27, 2006. BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge